

Quantum Traffic Pty Ltd
ABN 54617474370
ACN 617474370
T 1300757016
E admin@quantumtraffic.com.au
A 5 Murray Place RINGWOOD VIC 3143

W www.quantumtraffic.com.au

# Traffic Impact Assessment Report 

7-9 Nicholson Court, Clayton

Proposed Residential Development

# Traffic Impact Assessment Report 

## 7-9 Nicholson Court, Clayton

Proposed Residential Development

Prepared for the Applicant: Pitard Group

Document Control

| Revision | Date | Reference | Prepared By | Approved By |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A (Draft) | $18 / 05 / 22$ | $2021-0080$ | N.C. | D.B. |
| A (Final) | $25 / 05 / 22$ | $2021-0080$ | N.C. | D.B. |

## QuantumTraffic

Copyright © 2017- by Quantum Traffic Pty Ltd

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, Quantum Traffic.

## Executive Summary

This report assesses the proposed residential development at 19-23 Central Avenue, Moorabbin. The following provides an executive summary of the report.

## Car Parking

The statutory car parking requirement as specified under Clause 52.06-5 of the Monash Planning Scheme is 37 car spaces.

The application has provision for 37 car parking spaces, therefore meeting the statutory car parking requirements of Clause 52.06 of the Monash Planning Scheme.

The design standards of the car parking layout and access arrangements are principally in accordance with Clause 52.06 of the Monash Planning Scheme and is therefore expected to provide convenient and functional car parking and access for residents.

## Bicycle Parking

The proposed development has a statutory requirement to provide 9 bicycle parking spaces under Clause 52.34 of the Monash Planning Scheme. The application has provision for 12 bicycle spaces, exceeding the statutory bicycle parking requirement. Bicycle spaces have been designed in accordance with AS2890.3-2015.

## Traffic Impacts

The proposal is projected to generate in the order of 19 traffic movements during peak periods. Development traffic is expected to be assimilated by the road network without adverse impact to the operation and performance of Nicholson Street and the broader road network.

## Service Vehicles

Loading will at times be undertaken by residents when moving into and out of the proposed apartments, which can satisfactorily be undertaken off-site, or informally on-site with utes and vans.

Waste collection arrangements will be addressed by a WMP. On-site waste collection could be undertaken on-site by an MRL, with the basement car park designed to allow a nominal 6.4m MRL to enter and exit the site in a forward direction.

## Summary of Opinions

Having undertaken all tasks necessary to adequately assess the traffic engineering impacts of the proposed residential development, we are of the view that there are no traffic engineering reasons that should preclude the issue of a permit, subject to appropriate conditions.
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## 1 Introduction

The following Traffic Impact Assessment Report, reviews the car parking and traffic matters of the proposed residential development at 7-9 Nicholson Court, Clayton.

The application proposes to redevelop the site for a residential apartment building and has been assessed with consideration to the relevant statutory requirements of the Monash Planning Scheme.

## 2 Proposed Development

The proposal seeks to redevelop the site for a multi-storey residential development at 7-9 Nicholson Court, Clayton.

Table 1 outlines the key attributes of the development from a traffic engineering perspective.

Table 1: Proposed Development Numbers

| Attribute | Proposal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Land Use | 1 -bed -3 No. <br> 2-bed -24 No. <br> 3 -bed -5 No. <br> Total $-\mathbf{3 2}$ dwellings |
| Cwellings | 37 car spaces |
| Car Parking Provision | 5 bicycle spaces |
| Bicycle Parking | 7 bicycle spaces |
| Ground |  |
| Basement Level |  |

A bin store is proposed within basement, with collection arrangements to be determined by a waste management plan (WMP).

Development Application Plans prepared by Pitard Group are attached at Appendix A.

## 3 Existing Conditions

## $3.1 \quad$ Subject Site

The proposed development site is generally rectangular in shape, comprising of 2 land parcels (No. 7 and 9). The site is located on the west side of Nicholson Court at the street's terminus (Melway Reference 79 C2).

Table 2 outlines the key existing features of the development site.

Table 2: Existing Features of Subject Site

| Site Feature | Detail |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Municipality \& Referral Authorities |  |  |
| Municipality | Monash City Council |  |
| Existing Use | Single dwelling, single width driveway and parking at <br> the rear |  |
| 7 Nicholson Court | Single dwelling, single width driveway and parking at <br> the rear |  |
| 9 Nicholson Court | Residential Growth Zone - Schedule 3 (RGZ3) |  |
| Zoning \& Overlays | Special Building Overlay (SBO) |  |
| Zoning | Approx. 1,390m² |  |
| Overlays | Open-air car spaces provided within driveways and <br> setback area. |  |
| Critical Dimensions |  |  |
| Total Site Area | 4P 8:00AM-6:00PM Mon-Sat authorised vehicles <br> Existing On-Site Car Parking <br> 7-9 Nicholson Court |  |
| On-Street Car Parking | No stopping |  |
| Nicholson Court (West Side) |  |  |
| Nicholson Court (East Side) | Clayton Road Activity Centre <br> Meade Reserve <br> Clayton Railway Station |  |
| Nearby Land Use | Within 200m |  |

An aerial photograph and location map are provided at Figure 1 to Figure 2, respectively.


Figure 1: Aerial Photograph (source: Nearmap)


Figure 2: Location Map (Source: www.melway.com.au)

### 3.2 Road Network

## Nicholson Court

Nicholson Court is a local access road under the management of Monash City Council. Aligned generally in a north to south direction, Nicholson Court extends southwards and terminates in a cul-de-sac approximately 120 m south from Haughton Road.

Adjacent the subject site, Nicholson Court is constructed with an approximate carriageway width of 7.3 m and operates with two-way traffic and car parking on the west side of the road. The court bowl, adjacent No. 9 Nicholson Court has an approximate diameter of 11.0 m and is restricted to 'No Stopping'.
Car parking along the east side of Nicholson Street is generally restricted to 4P 8:00AM-6:00PM Monday to Saturday, whilst 'No Stopping' restrictions apply on the west side. Footpaths are provided on both sides of Central Avenue.
The default built-up urban speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ applies to Nicholson Street, adjacent the subject site.
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Photographs of the existing conditions on Central Avenue are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 below.


Figure 3: Nicholson Street - View North


Figure 4: Nicholson Street - View South

### 3.3 Public Transport

The subject site is highly accessible to public transport infrastructure with several services within proximity to the subject site. This is demonstrated by the site's positioning within the Principal Public Transport Network (PPTN), as illustrated in Figure 5 following.


Figure 5: PPTN Zoning Map (Source: VicPlan)

The surrounding bus and rail services provide a connection to major retail precincts and shopping centres, as well as the city and other day to day destinations.

Figure 6 illustrates the nearby public transport services, and an itinerary of the public transport services operating in proximity to the subject site is summarised at Table 3.


Figure 6: Public Transport Local Area Map (Source: www.ptv.com.au)

Table 3: Public Transport Infrastructure

| Service | Between | Via | Operation by Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 | 宕 | 㕍 |
| Buses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 733 | Oakleigh-Box Hill | Clayton \& Monash University | Y | Y | Y |
| Route 821 | Southland-Clayton | Heatherton | Y | N | N |
| Route 631 | Southland-Waverley Gardens | Clayton \& Monash University | Y | Y | Y |
| Route 704 | Oakleigh StationWestall | Clayton | Y | Y | Y |
| Route 703 | Middle BrightonBlackburn | Bentleigh, Clayton <br> \& Monash <br> University | Y | Y | Y |
| Train |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cranbourne <br> /Pakenham <br> Train Line | Melbourne CBD to Cranbourne/ Pakenham | Richmond \& Caufield | Y | Y | Y |

### 3.3.1 Walking \& Cycling Infrastructure

The subject site has access to the surrounding walking and bicycle network.
Access to nearby cycling facilities is available via the Nicholson Court frontage which provides access to the Djerring Trail. A map illustrating the bicycle network surrounding the site is provided at Figure 7.


Figure 7: Monash TravelSmart Map

## 4 Car Parking Assessment

### 4.1 Statutory Car Parking

Clause 52.06-5 of the Monash Planning Scheme prescribes the number of car spaces to be provided for the proposed development.

Under Clause 74 of the Planning Scheme, the proposed residential apartments are defined as 'Dwellings'.

Of relevance, Clause 52.06-5 states:
Column B applies if:

- any part of the land is identified as being within the Principal Public Transport Network Area as shown on the Principal Public Transport Network Area Maps(State Government of Victoria, August 2018); or
- a schedule to the Parking Overlay or another provision of the planning scheme specifies that Column B applies.

In this case, the site is located within the PPTN area, and is not subject to a car parking overlay; therefore, the Column B rates in Table 1 of Clause 52-06-5 apply.

Table 4 outlines an assessment of the car parking provision against the statutory requirement prescribed under Clause 52.06-5 of the Monash Planning Scheme.

Table 4: Statutory Car Parking Assessment

| Use | No. | Statutory Car <br> Parking Rate | Req. | Provision | +/- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwellings |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 bedroom | 3 | 1 space per dwelling | 3 | 3 | - |
| 2 bedrooms | 24 |  | 24 | 25 | +1 |
| 3 bedrooms | 5 | 2 spaces per dwelling | 10 | 10 | - |
| Visitors | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL |  |  | 37 | 37 | - |

Based on the above assessment, the proposed development has a statutory car parking requirement to provide 37 car spaces. The proposal has provision for 37 car spaces, meeting the statutory car parking requirements of Clause 52.06 of the Planning Scheme.

Accordingly, a car parking permit to vary the statutory car parking requirement is not sought by the application.

## 5 Car Park Design

The proposed basement car park is proposed to/from Nicholson Court, via a single lane accessway with passing area at the entrance.

The following table reviews the proposed car parking design against the design standards of Clause 52.06-9 of the Monash Planning Scheme.

Table 5: Review of Car Park Design - Clause 52.06-9

| Design Standard | Compliant | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Standard 1 - Accessways |  |  |
| Be at least 3 metres wide | Exceeded | The proposed accessway is proposed to be at least 3.6 m wide between walls. |
| Have an internal radius of at least 4 metres at changes of direction or intersection or be at least 4.2 metres wide. | Compliant | The internal accessways are greater than 4.2 m at changes of direction, and or swept paths appropriately demonstrate the functionality of the proposed access design. |
| Allow vehicles parked in the last space of a dead-end accessway in public car parks to exit in a forward direction with one manoeuvre | Not Applicable | The proposed car park will not be public in nature. <br> Nevertheless, spaces located at the end of a dead-end accessway have been designed to allow a vehicle to appropriately exit car parking spaces. Swept path diagrams have been prepared demonstrating accessibility of a typical end space. |
| Provide at least 2.1 metres headroom beneath overhead obstructions, calculated for a vehicle with a wheel base of 2.8 metres | Compliant | The proposed car park will provide at least 2.2 m headroom clearance in all trafficable areas. |
| If the accessway serves four or more car spaces or connects to a road in a Transport Zone 2 or Transport Zone 3, the accessway must be designed so that cars can exit the site in a forward direction | Compliant | The application has provision for more than 4 car spaces, therefore the car park has been designed to allow exit manoeuvres in a forward direction. |
| Provide a passing area at the entrance at least 6.1 metres wide and 7 metres long if the accessway serves ten or more car parking spaces and is either more than 50 metres long or connects to a road in a Transport Zone 2 or Transport Zone 3 | Not Applicable | The accessway is not greater than 50 m in length nor does it connect to a road in a Transport Zone. |


| Design Standard | Compliant | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have a corner splay or area at least 50 per cent clear of visual obstructions extending at least 2 metres along the frontage road from the edge of an exit lane and 2.5 metres along the exit lane from the frontage, to provide a clear view of pedestrians on the footpath of the frontage road | Compliant | The sight splay provided on the north side of the entrance measuring 2.5 m along the accessway, and 2.0 m along the boundary is provided, satisfying this requirement. <br> The ramp is offset approximately 1.2 m from the southern boundary, providing a level of sight distance. Given the entrance is grading down towards the footpath, drivers are expected to have sufficient sight distance to the south of the access ramp. |
| If an accessway to four or more car parking spaces is from land in a Transport Zone 2 or Transport Zone 3, the access to the car spaces must be at least 6 metres from the road carriageway | Not Applicable | The proposed access is not taken from a road within a Road 2 or Road Zone 3. Nevertheless, car parking spaces are located more than 6 m away from the road carriageway. |
| If entry to the car space is from a road, the width of the accessway may include the road | Not Applicable | All spaces are accessed via an internal accessway. |
| Design Standard 2 - Car Parking Spaces |  |  |
| Car parking spaces and accessways must have the minimum dimensions as outlined in Table 2. | Compliant | All standard car spaces have minimum dimensions of 2.6 m width and 4.9 m depth, accessible from aisles that are 6.4 m wide. <br> Where aisle narrow to 5.8 m , car spaces have been widened to 2.8 m , in accordance with the Planning Scheme. |
| A wall, fence, column, tree, tree guard or any other structure that abuts a car space must not encroach into the area marked 'clearance required' on Diagram 1, other than: <br> - A column, tree or tree guard, which may project into a space if it is within the area marked 'tree or column permitted' on Diagram 1. <br> - A structure, which may project into the space if it is at least 2.1 metres above the space. | Principally <br>  <br> Acceptable <br> Variation | All car spaces adjacent an obstruction have been provided with a 300 mm clearance. <br> Columns have been located 250 mm 1250 mm from the aisle end of car spaces. <br> On the basis of the preceding, convenient door opening and pedestrian accessibility around parked vehicles is provided. |
| Car spaces in garages or carports must be at least 6 metres long and 3.5 metres wide for a single space and 5.5 metres wide for a | Not Applicable | No garage spaces are proposed. |


| Design Standard | Compliant | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| double space measured inside the garage or carport. |  |  |
| Where parking spaces are provided in tandem (one space behind the other) an additional 500 mm in length must be provided between each space | Satisfied | Tandem spaces have been provided with a 500 mm separation between bays. |
| Where two or more car parking spaces are provided for a dwelling, at least one space must be under cover | Compliant | All car parking spaces are provided undercover within a basement level car park. |
| Disabled car parking spaces must be designed in accordance with Australian Standard AS2890.62009 (disabled) and the Building Code of Australia. Disabled car parking spaces may encroach into an accessway width specified in Table 2 by 500 mm | Not Applicable | DDA bays are not required for residential developments. |
| Design Standard 3 - Gradients |  |  |
| Accessway grades must not be steeper than 1:10 (10 per cent) within 5 metres of the frontage. | Compliant | The first 5 m of the car park entrance is no steeper than 1 in 10. |
| Ramps (except within 5 metres of the frontage) must have the maximum grades as outlined in Table 3 and be designed for vehicles travelling in a forward direction. | Compliant | The access ramp is proposed with a maximum grade of 1 in 4. |
| Where the difference in grade between two sections of ramp or floor is greater that 1:8 (12.5 per cent) for a summit grade change, or greater than 1:6.7 (15 per cent) for a sag grade change, the ramp must include a transition section of at least 2 metres to prevent vehicles scraping or bottoming. | Compliant | Ramp grade changes are no steeper than $12.5 \%$ and $15 \%$ for crest and sag grade changes, respectively. Scraping and bottoming are therefore appropriately prevented for B99 design vehicles. |
| Plans must include an assessment of grade changes of greater than 1:5.6 (18 per cent) or less than 3 metres apart for clearances, to the satisfaction of the responsible authority. | Not Applicable | Grade changes do not exceed 18\%. |

Based on the above design standard assessment, we are satisfied the proposed car parking layout and vehicle access arrangements to are satisfactory and acceptably meet the objectives of Clause 52.06-9 of the Monash Planning Scheme, and where applicable, AS2890.1-2004.

## 6 Bicycle Parking Assessment

Clause 52.34-2 of the Monash Planning Scheme sets out the provisional requirements for bicycle parking. A Clause 52.34-3 assessment for the application is provided at Table 6 following.

Table 6: Statutory Bicycle Parking Assessment

| Use | Size/ <br> No. | Statutory Bicycle Parking Rate | Requirement <br> $(1)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dwellings |  | 1 to each 5 dwellings in developments of 4 <br> or more storeys | 6 |
| Residents | 32 No. | 1 to each 10 dwellings in developments of 4 <br> or more storeys | 3 |
| Visitors |  | TOTAL | 9 spaces |

Based on the foregoing assessment, the application has a statutory requirement to provide for 9 bicycle parking spaces comprising 6 resident spaces and 3 visitor spaces.

The proposed development has provision for a total of 12 bicycle parking spaces comprising 7 secure resident spaces, and 5 bike spaces on the ground floor accessible to visitors.

The bicycle parking layout has been designed with reference to AS 2890.3:2015 Bicycle Parking.

All spaces are provided in horizontal format and have been provided at 1.8 m depth 1.0 m spacings and are accessible from at least 1.5 m wide aisles.

## 7 Traffic Considerations

### 7.1 Traffic Generation

## Residents

To understand the level of traffic that may be generated by the proposed residential component of the development, the RTA (now RMS) Guide (October, 2002) has been sourced. The RMS Guide outlines traffic generation rates for various land uses based on extensive data collection (primarily conducted in NSW), including a range of different types of dwellings. This Guide is used by DoT and is generally accepted for developments within a metropolitan setting.

For smaller units and apartments with up to 2 bedrooms, The RMS Guide recommends a trip generation of 4-5 vehicle trip ends per day and a rate of 5-6.5 vehicle trip ends per day for larger dwellings.

Based on the location of the site, car parking provisions and the types of dwellings proposed, a conservative daily traffic generation rate of 6 movements per dwelling allocated a car space has been adopted for the purposes of the assessment. Applying this rate to the proposed development would equate to a residential traffic generation of 192 movements per day.

It is typical for $10 \%$ of these vehicle trip ends (vehicle movements) to occur in the peak hours i.e. 19 movements.

## Visitors

Traffic generation associated visitors and customers to the development is expected to be dispersed throughout the broader road network, and form part of the Clayton activity centre network traffic. The traffic associated with this component of the development is unlikely to have a direct and consequential impact to the immediate road network.

### 7.2 Traffic Distribution

The foregoing assessment projects a traffic generation of 192 daily vehicle movements, with 19 vehicle movements occurring in the commuter peak periods (typically 8-9am and 5-6pm).

Table 7 outlines the likely distribution of entering and exiting traffic in the AM and PM peak hours. The distribution is based on the following widely accepted distributions for residential traffic.

## Residential

- AM Peak hour $-80 \%$ of vehicles exiting, $20 \%$ of vehicles entering
- PM Peak Hour - $40 \%$ of vehicles exiting, $60 \%$ of vehicles entering

Table 7: Traffic Distribution

| Use | No. of Movements | Avg. Time Per Movement per <br> Peak Hour |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour | 4 | 1 movement every 15 minutes |
| Entering Movement | 15 | 1 movement every 4 minutes |
| Exiting Movement | 11 | 1 movement every 5.5 minutes |
| PM Peak Hour | 8 | 1 movement every 7.5 minutes |
| Entering Movement |  |  |
| Exiting Movement |  |  |

The level of traffic projected to be generated by the proposed development is equivalent to a single traffic movement being generated, on average, every 3.2 minutes. This is not expected to have a discernible impact on the adjacent road network and is considered modest in traffic engineering terms.

Furthermore, Nicholson Street is sign posted as 'No Stopping' on the east side of the road, thereby improving the environmental capacity of the street.

With consideration to the projected traffic volumes, configuration of the local road network, and type of traffic projected to be generated by the proposal, the level of traffic expected to be generated by the development is not expected to cause undue impact on the prevailing traffic conditions of Nicholson Street or the surrounding road network.

### 8.1 Loading

The loading demands likely to be generated by the application are expected to be minimal and associated with residents moving in and out of the dwellings.

These loading activities are generally undertaken by utes and vans which can access the basement car park. Parking for small trucks is expected to be infrequent and relatively short-term in nature, which can be facilitated on-street.

Overall, the impacts to on-street parking will be negligible because of these loading demands.

### 8.2 Waste Collection

Waste bins will be stored within a bin store located in the proposed basement.
A waste management plan (WMP) is likely to be prepared as part of an RFI, and likely to propose on-site collection.

On-site collection is likely to be undertaken by private waste contractor.
We anticipate the formal collection arrangements will include the use of a 6.4 m long mini-rear loader (MRL). The vehicle would enter the basement carpark, manoeuvre to the bin store area, for bin collection, and then turnaround within the basement and exit the site in a forward direction.

Swept path diagrams in Appendix B have been prepared demonstrating the acceptability of the above manoeuvres by the nominal waste collection vehicle.

The above arrangements are subject to a review undertaken by a waste management consultant.

## 9 Conclusions

Having visited the site and undertaken a detailed traffic engineering assessment the following conclusions have been determined for the proposed residential development at 7-9 Nicholson Court, Clayton:

1. The statutory car parking requirement as specified under Clause 52.06-5 of the Monash Planning Scheme is 37 car spaces.
2. The application has provision for 37 car parking spaces, therefore meeting the statutory car parking requirements of Clause 52.06 of the Monash Planning Scheme.
3. The design standards of the car parking layout and access arrangements are principally in accordance with Clause 52.06 of the Monash Planning Scheme and is therefore expected to provide convenient and functional car parking and access for residents.
4. The proposed development has a statutory requirement to provide 9 bicycle parking spaces under Clause 52.34 of the Monash Planning Scheme. The application has provision for 12 bicycle spaces, exceeding the statutory bicycle parking requirement. Bicycle spaces have been designed in accordance with AS2890.3-2015.
5. The proposal is projected to generate in the order of 19 traffic movements during peak periods. Development traffic is expected to be assimilated by the road network without adverse impact to the operation and performance of Nicholson Street and the broader road network.
6. Loading will at times be undertaken by residents when moving into and out of the proposed apartments, which can satisfactorily be undertaken off-site, or informally on-site with utes and vans.
7. Waste collection arrangements will be addressed by a WMP. On-site waste collection could be undertaken on-site by an MRL, with the basement car park designed to allow a nominal 6.4 m MRL to enter and exit the site in a forward direction.

Having undertaken all tasks necessary to adequately assess the traffic engineering impacts of the proposed residential development, we are of the view that there are no traffic engineering reasons that should preclude the issue of a permit, subject to appropriate conditions.
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Swept Path Diagrams


## Swept Path Diagrams

Address: 7-9 Nicholson Court, Clayton LGA: Monash Ref: 2022-0080


CAR SPACE 2 -ENTRY


## CAR SPACE 1-EXIT



CAR SPACE 2-EXIT


e: admin@quantumtraffic.com.au
p: (03) 98794250
w: www.quantumtraffic.com.au
a: 5 Murrary Place
RINGWOOD VIC 3134

## LEGEND

## Vehicle Body

Wheel Tracks
Clearance Lines $(300 \mathrm{~mm})$ $\qquad$

DESIGN VEHICLE USED IN SIMULATION


B85 Design Car - AS2890.1:2004
Width
Track
Track
Lock to Lock Time
Steering Angle Lock to Lock Ti
Steering Angle
Speed

$\qquad$ | 1770 mm |
| :--- |
| 6.0 sec | $: \begin{aligned} & 37.5 \text { degrees } \\ & : 5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{l}\end{aligned}$

## Swept Path Diagrams

## Address: LGA: <br> 7-9 Nicholson Court, Clayton Monash Ref: 2022-0080

## MRL ENTRY \& TURNAROUND



## MRL EXIT



e: admin@quantumtraffic.com.au
p: (03) 98794250
w: www.quantumtraffic.com.au
a: 5 Murrary Place
RINGWOOD VIC 3134

## LEGEND

## Vehicle Body

Wheel Tracks
Clearance Lines ( 300 mm )


