4.1 TENDER FOR SUPPLY OF ONE RECYCLING AND GARBAGE COLLECTION TRUCK (CF2016051:DN)

Responsible Director: Ossie Martinz

RECOMMENDATION*

That Council:

- 1. Awards the tender from Garwood International Pty Ltd for supply of one recycling and garbage collection truck, Contract No. 2016051 for a fixed Lump Sum of \$406,798 with an extra \$10,000 for Contingencies;
- 2. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to execute the contract agreement;
- 3. Notes that the total budget including the fixed Lump Sum, Contingencies and Provisional Items is \$416,798.

(*Please note that all dollar figures are GST Inclusive unless stated otherwise).

INTRODUCTION

Council has conducted a tender for supply of one recycling and garbage collection truck.

BACKGROUND

The City of Monash cleansing team consists of a 15 person crew. The team uses garbage collection trucks, street sweepers, pathway sweepers, tray trucks, vans and utilities to undertake programmed and reactive cleaning services.

The garbage trucks empty public litter and recycling bins in the parks, shopping precincts, pathways and playgrounds.

This current truck is reaching the end of its service life and is due for replacement in 2020.

NOTIFICATION

Four prequalified suppliers from the Municipal Association of Victoria's panel contract NPN1.15 were invited to participate in a selective Tender on 5 December 2091 and the tender closed on 23 December 2019. Council use of the Municipal Association of Victoria's panel contract is approved by the Minister of Local Government under section 186(5) (c) of the Local Government Act 1989 and is therefore compliant with Councils' legislative requirements.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Four tender submissions were received by the appointed closing time.

The tenders received are listed below:

- Bucher Municipal Pty Ltd
- Garwood International Pty Ltd
- HSR Southern Cross Pty Ltd
- Superior Pan Pty Ltd

Tender Conformance:

All submissions were accessed for their compliance with the tender conditions including the contractual terms and conditions and the requirements of the response schedules. All tender submissions were deemed conforming.

TENDER EVALUATION

All members of the evaluation panel signed Conflict of Interest and Confidentiality forms and no conflicts were raised.

The tenderers were assessed in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the tender documentation:

Pass/Fail Assessment Criteria	Score
Complete and conforming submission	Pass

KEY SELECTION CRITERIA		CRITERIA WEIGHTINGS	SUB WEIGHTINGS	SUB CRITERIA/RETURNABLE SCHEDULES LINK
40% NON-PRICE CRITERIA	Specification	35%	10%	Low floor entry and flat floor
			10%	Tare of 80% of GVM or less
			10%	Single / double hopper
			5%	Suspension
	Servicing locations and support	5%	5%	Access to servicing and repair and services
PRICE (60%)		60%	60%	Comparison of Whole of Life Cost or Total Project Cost or Estimated Annual Cost

DISCUSSION

The current rear loader garbage truck collects general waste from shopping centre, street and park litter bins, then after emptying must return to many of the same locations to collect the recyclables. This current truck is reaching the end of its service life and is due for replacement in 2020.

In developing the specification, stakeholders were consulted together with suppliers and industry groups. Some of the major recommendations were:

- eliminate drivers exiting the vehicle on the drivers/traffic side of the cabin to eliminate the risk to drivers of being struck by a passing vehicle
- lower cabin floor height to reduce the height drivers need to climb up into and down from the truck cabin, as drivers must exit the vehicle to empty each bin at each stop
- improve visibility from the cabin as the majority of the work is in shopping precincts that are becoming more congested with people and vehicles.

Also taken into consideration was the introduction of new recycling bins adjacent to existing general waste bins throughout the municipality. This initiative will remove recyclables from landfill but currently require a separate collection run effectively doubling the collection costs to Council.

The stakeholders determined that a low floor entry vehicle would be fit for purpose to reduce or eliminate some of the current hazards.

It was also determined that, if available, a rear loader with two bin lifters that separate recyclables from general waste would provide efficiencies, reduce the risk exposure for

staff and eliminate the need to introduce an additional collection service. This would also result in reduced fuel use and therefore emission reductions. All vehicles proposed meet current Australian emission standards requirements.

All of the submissions met the primary specification requirements and would be suitable to meet service requirements.

The submission from Garwood International included the option for a twin bin lift system that would allow both recyclables and general waste to be collected at the same time. Garwood International has an excellent reputation in the industry and has supplied similar equipment to major waste collection companies in Australia.

The evaluation team inspected equipment from all the suppliers.

The final evaluation ranking (including the price and non-price evaluation criteria) had Garwood International Pty Ltd ranked highest and as such, the evaluation panel recommends Garwood International Pty Ltd as representing the best value outcome for Council.

As such, the evaluation panel recommends these suppliers as representing the best value outcome for Council.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The purchase of the new equipment is programmed as part of the Plant and Equipment Replacement Program and allowed for in the capital budget (account no. 1.PQ.PQP.PQ21.000.1670). The investment in the new equipment is \$356,635 excluding GST, stamp duty and registration. A commissioning contingency sum of \$10,000 has been allowed. The total expenditure required is \$416,789 including GST.

CONCLUSION

That Council approves the recommendations contained within this report.